

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Responsive to the final Office Action mailed January 11, 2008:

### I. NON-PRIOR ART MATTERS

#### A. The Office Action objected to the Drawings.

The Office Action objected to the drawings as not showing an embodiment where the member 30 is coupled to the receiver 42 (claim 28).

Applicant respectfully asserts that in combination Figs. 1 and 2 show the member 30 selectively coupled to the receiver 42. Fig. 1 shows the member 30 coupled to the receiver 42, while Fig. 2 shows the strap coupled to the archer's wrist, but in an open position in which the member 30 is not coupled (selectively coupled) to the receiver 42.

### II. PRIOR ART MATTERS

#### A. The Office Action rejected claims 1 and 2 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Graffin. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Applicant respectfully objects that the Examiner has not followed the procedure specified in the MPEP for a final rejection. See the Amendment and Response to Office Action mailed October 23, 2007.

However, in the interest of compact prosecution, Applicant has re-written claim 14 herein in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims as suggested in the Office Action. Claim 14 has been cancelled and its limitations incorporated into claim 1.

For the above reasons, Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating the allowable subject matter.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-3732.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

24 Jan 08

By \_\_\_\_\_



Gerald E. Helget (Reg. No. 30,948)

Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106)

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.

2200 IDS Center, 80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: 612-977-8480

Facsimile: 612-977-8650